

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,143	06/27/2001	Antti Kansakoski	871.0014.USU	4012
29683	7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			NGUYEN, DUNG X	
	CT 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2638	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/893,143	KANSAKOSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung X. Nguyen	2638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ĺ		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	ugust 2005.		•		
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 - 33 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖾	⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 - 25</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 - 3, 9 - 11, 26, 27, 30 and 31 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4 - 8, 12 - 14, 28, 29, 32, and 33</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, ,,				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	; a .			
Attachmen	t/c\					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT0	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/893,143

Art Unit: 2638

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on August 11, 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 3, 9 11, 26, 27, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterzell (US patent application publication # 2002/0123319 A1), and further in view of Bejjani et al. (US patent # 6,510,143 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Peterzell discloses (figure 2) that a CDMA receiver, comprising:

- A RF section (stage 1) for receiving a CDMA signal (page 2, paragraphs # 0023, 0024);
- A circuit for determining an instantaneous total received power (Io) of the received CDMA signal (page 8, paragraphs # 0091 0093).

Peterzell differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not show the step of a searcher that is one of enabled for operation or disabled from operation in accordance with the value of Io.

Page 2

However, Bejjani et al. discloses the step of a searcher that is one of enabled for operation or disabled from operation in accordance with the value of Io (column 1, lines 36 - 57, this limitation is inherently taught because that enabling or disabling the path is a part of the selective operation).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Peterzell and Bejjani et al. as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for power saving.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, respectively, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 1, Bejjani et al. further inherently discloses wherein the circuit comprises a comparator for comparing Io against a threshold, and for generating a searcher trigger signal only when Io exceeds the threshold (column 1, line 61 to column 2, line 37) for processing the value of Io and the threshold.

Regarding claim 9, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 9, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 2.

Regarding claim 11, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 9, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 3.

Regarding claim 26, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 1.

Regarding claim 27, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 26, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 2.

Regarding claim 30, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 1.

Regarding claim 31, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 30, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 2638

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4 - 8, 12 - 14, 28, 29, 32, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 15 - 25 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding to claim 15, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious of a method for operating a CDMA receiver, comprising:

- Receiving a CDMA signal;
- Storing samples of the received CDMAS signal into buffer;
- Determining an instantaneous total receiver power (Io) of the received CDMA signal over m consecutive segments of the received CDMA signal; and
- Using a maximum value of Io to identify on of m segments of the searcher buffer on which a searcher is to be enabled for operation.

Regarding to claim 16, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious of a method for operating a CDMA receiver, comprising:

- Receiving a CDMA signal and storing samples of the received CDMAS signal into buffer of a searcher while determining an instantaneous total receiver power (Io) of the received CDMA signal; and
- Selectively one of generating or not generating a trigger signal to the searcher in accordance with the value of Io, wherein when generated the searcher trigger signal causes the searcher to process the stored samples.

Regarding to claim 21, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious of a CDMA receiver, comprising:

- Receiver circuit for receiving a CDMA signal;

Application/Control Number: 09/893,143

Art Unit: 2638

- A memory for storing samples of the received CDMAS signal; and

- A signal processor circuit for determining, during a time that the samples are being

stored in the memory, an instantaneous total receiver power (Io) of the received

Page 5

CDMA signal for selectively one of generating or not generating a searcher trigger

signal in accordance with the value of Io, wherein when generated the searcher trigger

signal causes the searcher to process the stored samples.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Orr et al. (US patent application publication # 2002/0150068 A1) discloses a method and

its corresponding apparatus for multiplexing signal codes via weighted majority logic.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3010.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 17:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Vanderpuye, Kenneth N. can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone

numbers for this group is (571) 273-3021.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

DXN

October 04/2005

KENNETH VANDERPUYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER